

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,355	09/17/2003	Masumi Suetsugu	2185-0698P	8070
2292 BIRCH STEW	7590 01/17/2002 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747			SCHILLING, RICHARD L	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	· •		1795	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
·		·	01/17/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/664,355	SUETSUGU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Richard L. Schilling	1795				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	l					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Oc	ctober 2007.					
<u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,7,9 and 10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,7,9,10</u> is/are rejected.						
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the control of the contro	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application				

Application/Control Number:

10/664.355

Art Unit: 1795

1. The reissue oaths/declarations filed with this application are defective because

Page 2

they fail to contain a statement, either separately or combined, that all errors which are

being corrected in the reissue application up to the time of filing of the oath/declaration

arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.175

and MPEP § 1414.

The supplemental oath, filed 10-29-07, states that it does not cover errors of the

prior declaration. The original oath/declaration does not contain a statement that the

errors arose without any deceptive intent on the part of applicant. There is no clear

statement that the errors identified in the original declaration arose without any

deceptive intent on the part of applicant in the declarations.

2. Claims 1, 2, 7, 9 and 10 are rejected as being based upon defective reissue

declarations under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect(s) in the declarations is set forth in the discussion above

in this Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Richard L.

Schilling at telephone number 571-272-1335.